

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | D. F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/851,261 | <u>-</u> | 05/08/2001 | Koichi Mukasa | SUGIM33.001AUS | 2985 |
| 20995 | 7590 | 05/05/2003 | | | |
| | | NS OLSON & E | EXAMINER | | |
| 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR | | | | TRAN, LEN | |
| IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1725 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/851,261 | MUKASA ET AL. | | | | | |
| riariosi, riolion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Len Tran | 1725 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee have been filed in the date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee have been filed in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>The</i> | reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration for the second require full the second re | dered but does NOT place the ther search and consideration. | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo | will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | • | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . | | · | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | |
| . Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation, "laser beams configured to be crisscrossed over a seam line for measuring a welding state" would require further search and consideration..

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER